EXHIBIT 1

Case 1:17-cv-00537-RJJ-PJG ECF No. 1-1 filed 06/14/17 PageID.5 Page 2 of 12

Approved, SCAO

1st copy - Defendant

2nd copy - Plaintiff 3rd copy - Return

STATE OF MICHIGAN
JUDICIAL DISTRICT
2nd JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
COUNTY PROBATE

CASE NO.

2nd JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT			17	0113 CL))
COUNTY PROBATE Court address						
STANDARD CONTRACTOR OF THE STANDARD CONTRACTOR O	75				Court te	elephone n
811 Port Street, St. Joseph,k Michigan 4908					(269) 983-7111	
Plaintiff's name(s), address(es), and telephone CASSANDRA SIKES 261 E. St. Jospeh Coloma, MI 49038 (269)468-4250	no(s).	v	Defendant's name(s), PRIDE CARE PLUS C/O Marie Eisenbre 6001 Mountain Road Coloma, MI 49038 (269) 343-2224	S nner	d telephone no(s	;).
Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and teleph Kevin S. Anderson (P48851) KEVIN S. ANDERSON, PLC 620 Broad Street, Ste. 204 St. Joseph, MI 49085 (269) 932-4224	one no.			1		
1. You are being sued. 2. YOU HAVE 21 DAYS after receiving ortake other lawful action with the control in the complaint.	this summons to file court (28 days if you vion within the time al	e a written were serve llowed, jud	answer with the co	urt and serve	a copy on the	other party
*This summons is invalid unless served on or be	1 6 2017	Court clerk		HARON J		
by the plaintiff. Actual allegations and the This is a business case in which all or Family Division Cases There is no other pending or resolved a members of the parties. An action within the jurisdiction of the been previously filed in	r part of the action ir action within the juris family division of the	diction of the	usiness or comment of family division of c art involving the famil	cial dispute u ircuit court inv iy or family me	nder MCL 600. rolving the famile embers of the p	.8035. ily or family parties has
The action remains is no lo	onger pending.	The docke	t number and the ju	dge assigned	to the action	are:
Docket no.	Judge				Bar	r no.
General Civil Cases ✓ There is no other pending or resolved A civil action between these parties o been previously filed in The action □ remains □ is no lo	r otner parties arisir	ng out of th	ame transaction or o e transaction or occ t number and the ju	currence alleg	ged in the comp Co	plaint has ourt.
Docket no.	Judge				Bar	r no.
VENUE						
Plaintiff(s) residence (include city, township, or v Coloma, Michigan		Defend Colom	ant(s) residence (include a, Michigan	city, township,	or village)	
Place where action arose or business conducted Coloma, Michigan		,	1	14		
05/17/2017						
Date		Signatu	re of attorney/plaintiff		200	

If you require special accommodations to use the court because of a disability or if you require a foreign language interpreter to help you fully participate in court proceedings, please contact the court immediately to make arrangements.

PROOF OF SERVICE

SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT Case No.

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing or the date of expiration on the order for second summons. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk.

CERTIFICATE/AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE/NONSERVICE

						- 3-20		
□ OFFICER CERTIFICATE I certify that I am a sheriff, deputy sheriff, bailiff, appointed court officer, or attorney for a party (MCR 2.104[A][2]), and that: (notarization not required) OR □ AFFIDAVIT OF PROCESS SERVER Being first duly sworn, I state that I am a legally competent adult who is not a party or an officer of a corporate party, and that: (notarization required)								
I served by together with	registered or o Plainhiff's List all docume	ertified mail (nons and complaint, copy of return receipt Copy of return receipt The Summons and Compla	nd Requests for Ari	the summons and co	omplaint, Jack Pride		
_ Care PI	145							
						on the defendant(s):		
Defendant's name Ms. Marie Proje Care	Eisbrennes		Complete address(es) of GOOI Mount Coloma, MI		Day, date, time			
		¥5				b:		
I have person and have be	nally attempte en unable to c	d to serve the complete serv	summons and compla	aint, together with any	attachments, on the	following defendant(s)		
Defendant's name			Complete address(es) of service			Day, date, time		
	300							
					owner, or any or			
I declare that th	e statements	above are true	e to the best of my info	ormation, knowledge	, and belief.			
Service fee \$	Miles traveled	Mileage fee \$	Total fee \$	Signature Name (type or print)				
Subscribed and	d sworn to befo	ore me on	te	Title ,		County, Michigan.		
My commission			Signature:					
N	Date		8	Deputy court clerk/Notar	ry public			
Notary public, S	state of Michig	an, County of						
ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE I acknowledge that I have received service of the summons and complaint, together with								
i acknowledge t	that I have rec	eived service	of the summons and	complaint, together	With Attachments			
		10	on					
Day, date, time								
Signature			on beh	nalf of		·		

STATE OF MICHIGAN

BERRIEN COUNTY TRIAL COURT - CIVIL DIVISION

811 Port Street, St. Joseph, MI 49085

(269) 983-7111

CASSANDRA SIKES

Plaintiff,

Case #: 17 · 6113

CL

٧.

HON.

DENNIS M. WILEY

PRIDE CARE PLUS

Defendant.

Kevin S. Anderson (P48851) KEVIN S. ANDERSON, PLC Attorney for Plaintiff 620 Broad Street, Suite. 204 St. Joseph, MI 49085 (269) 932-4224

DUPLICATE ORIGINAL

COMPLAINT

There is no other pending or resolved civil action arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in the complaint.

Plaintiff states:

Jurisdiction and Parties

This is an action for violations of the Persons with Disabilities Civil Rights
Act (PDCRA), MCL 37.1101 et seq., Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act, MCLA
37.2202, and the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (FMLA), 29 USC
2601 et seq.

- This court has jurisdiction of the FMLA claim as the Court of general jurisdiction with respect to the parties and pursuant to 28 USC 1331
- Plaintiff is a citizen of the United States and the State of Michigan and resides in Berrien County.
- Defendant is a Michigan employer with facilities and a principal place of business in Berrien County.
- 5. Plaintiff is an eligible employee as defined by the FMLA and by the PDCRA.
- 6. Defendant is an employer as defined by the FMLA and by the PDCRA.
- Defendant Valentine Repair Service Center is an *employer* as defined in Michigan's Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act (the Act), MCLA 37.2301, 2401, MSA 3.548(301), (401)(hereinafter ELCRA).
- The events giving rise to this cause of action occurred within the County of Berrien, State of Michigan.

Background Facts

- 9. Plaintiff began working with Defendant as a volunteer EMT in 1984.
- 10. Plaintiff began working for Defendant in their office in 1997.
- 11. Plaintiff was subsequently promoted and ultimately served, from 2006 until her termination in 2015 as Defendant's Director of Community Relations although her last assignment involved making dunning calls to customers with outstanding balances.
- 12. Plaintiff served on Defendant's Board of Directors from 1985 until 2014.

- 13. Throughout the course of Plaintiff's employment she received only positive and favorable performance evaluations.
- 14. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant was aware that Plaintiff suffers a serious health condition, severe back and leg pain.
- 15. On January 15, 2015, Plaintiff was summoned to a meeting with management, Marie Eisenbrener, Defendant's COO, and Brian Balow, Defendant's CEO, wherein she was advised that she "was not working up to her A game" and it was suggested that she could be approved for total disability with her "back issue."
- 16. During the January 15, 2015 Defendant's management suggested that Plaintiff could get a reverse mortgage and start collecting Social Security disability benefits and Brian Balow suggested that the Defendant could then bring her back to take care of Berrien County nursing homes that were clients of the Defendant.
- 17. Plaintiff refused to resign during the January 15, 2015 meeting.
- 18. On January 18, 2015, unknown to Plaintiff, Marie Eisbrenner, Defendant's COO, reached out thorough private Facebook Messenger to Plaintiff's daughter Jessica claiming that something was wrong with Plaintiff, that Plaintiff did not look good and Eisenbrenner provided Plaitniff's daughter with information regarding Plaintiff's available insurance benefits.
- On February 26, 2015 Plaintiff advised Ms. Eisenbrenner that she was looking into taking FMLA leave.

- 20. On February 27, 2015 Ms. Eisenbrenner sent Plaintiff an email disparaging Aflac short term disability benefits and suggested that Plaitniff take vacation time "for the next month and then collect unemployment" with Defendant extending Plaintiff's medical coverage with COBRA so that she not pursue FMLA leave.
- 21. In her February 27, 2015 email Ms. Eisenbrenner claimed that she discussed the proposed suggested alternative to a claim for FMLA and/or STD benefits with Mr. Ballow and with Bill Mears, who was to become Defendant's CEO on April 1, 2015, and advised Plaintiff that she planned to hold a "semi-retirement celebration for Plaintiff on March 29, 2015.
- 22. Plaintiff, considering the February 27, 2015 communication from Ms.
 Eisenbrenner as the outset of negotiation for a severance package, replied via email with her suggestions on March 5, 2015.
- 23. Plaintiff heard nothing of substance back from the Defendant regarding retirement and she took her accumulated Paid Time Off (PTO) leave returning to work on March 30, 2015.
- 24. Plaintiff's work station was moved from an office to a cubicle and she was assigned new collections tasks using new software and a demand that she make 80 calls per day.
- 25. Subsequent to her return from PTO Plaintiff began to experience difficulty with the use of her cellular telephone and reported it to management.
- 26. Plaintiff's back pain returned and she submitted a completed application for FMLA leave on May 7, 2015 with a demand that she make 80-calls per day

- tasks utilized her accumulated paid time off and returned to work on March 30, 2015.
- 27. On May 18, 2015, Brian Ballow increased Plaintiff's dunning calls output to a minimum of 100 calls per shift and remarked that he thought that she she would not wish to drive in to work and would "just take a lay off and be done with it."
- 28. On May 19, 2015 Bill Mears replied to an email of inquiry from Plaintiff criticizing her election to pursue FMLA leave.
- 29. On June 5, 2015 Plaintiff received COBRA paperwork from Defendant that stated that her employment had been terminated at the end of May 2015.
- 30. On June 6, 2015 Plaintiff received a copy of the employer documentation relating to her claim for short term disability benefits which stated, in relevant part, that Plaintiff had been approved for FMLA leave from May 28, 2015 through August 28, 2015.

Cause of Action (Violations of the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993) Count I - Retaliation

- 31. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 30 as though fully set forth herein.
- 32. Plaintiff was harassed and terminated in retaliation for her pursuit of rights provided her under the FMLA 29 USC 2601 et seq.
- 33. This harassment included an increase in her expected volume of dunning calls, suggestions from management that she should have simply taken a lay off, and verbal criticism of her job performance, none of which were visited

- upon similarly situated co-workers who had not availed themselves of benefits available through the FMLA.
- 34. Defendant's reasons for its adverse treatment of Plaintiff was mere pretext. They engaged with Plaintiff in willful retaliation for her application for approved leave pursuant to her FMLA Leave in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the FMLA, 29 USC 2601 et seq.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff has suffered loss of income, loss of employment opportunities, emotional pain, inconvenience, mental anguish and loss of enjoyment of life.

(Violations of the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993)
Count II – Unlawful Termination While on FMLA Leave

- 36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 35 as though fully set forth herein.
- 37. Plaintiff terminated while on approved FMLA leave in violation of the FMLA29 USC 2601 et seq.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff has suffered loss of income, loss of employment opportunities, emotional pain, inconvenience, mental anguish and loss of enjoyment of life.

(Violation of Persons with Disabilities Civil Rights Act) Count III – Disability Discrimination

- 39. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 38 as though fully set forth herein.
- 40. Plaintiff's chronic condition had no adverse impact on her ability to perform the duties of her job.

- 41. Upon learning that Plaintiff suffered from chronic back and knee pain, Defendant regarded Plaintiff as having a substantially limiting physical or mental characteristic.
- 42. Plaintiff requested accommodation in writing and provided Defendant access to supportive medical record.
- 43. Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of the PDCRA, MCL 37.1101 et seq.
- 44. In reprimanding contacting Plaintiff's daughter, without permission, to discuss Plaintiff's medical condition Defendant violated Plaintiff's rights under the PDCRA, MCL 37.1101 et seq.
- 45. In terminating Plaintiff the Defendant violated Plaintiff's rights under the PDCRA, MCL 37.1101 et seq.
- 46. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff has suffered loss of income, loss of employment opportunities, emotional pain, inconvenience, mental anguish and loss of enjoyment of life.

(Violation of the Elliott Larsen Civil Rights Act) Count IV – Age Discrimination

- 47. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 46 as though fully set forth herein.
- 48. Defendant violated Plaintiff's civil rights through its discrimination of her as a result of her age in the following ways:
 - a. subjecting Plaintiff, because of her age, to conduct and communication regarding her age and health condition and their

- desire that she take early retirement, which had the purpose and/or effect of denying her the full benefit of her employment with Defendant;
- b. Allowing and/or failing to stop harassment of Plaintiff, because of her age, by its management personnel, despite Plaintiff having asserted her right, not to be subjected to this kind of activity.
- c. Planning to throw an "early retirement" party for Plaintiff when no plans for such an early retirement had been agreed upon;
- d. Terminating Plaintiff's employment.
- 49. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff has suffered loss of income, loss of employment opportunities, emotional pain, inconvenience, mental anguish and loss of enjoyment of life.

PLAINTIFF REQUESTS judgment against Defendants as follows:

- Legal relief
 - a. Compensatory damages in whatever amount she is found to be entitled.
 - b. Liquidated damages in whatever amount she is found to be entitled.
 - An award of interests, costs, and reasonable attorney fees and expert witness fees.
- 2. Equitable relief
 - An order granting Plaintiff's request for unencumbered leave pursuant to the FMLA.

- An injunction prohibiting any further acts of wrongdoing,
 discrimination, or retaliation.
- An order providing for reinstatement of Plaintiff's employment,
 restoring her to her former position, title, and job grade.
- d. Whatever other equitable relief appears appropriate at the time of final judgment.

Date: May 17, 2017

Kevin S. Anderson (P48851) Kevin S. Anderson, PLC Attorney for Plaintiff 620 Broad Street, Suite 204 St. Joseph, MI 49085 (269) 932-4224